## **Internal Audit Service**

**Key Outcomes from Internal Audit Reports Issued Between November 2021 and May 2022** 

May 2022



# 1 Introduction – the Framework of Governance, Risk Management and Control

- 1.1 Internal Audit is an independent and objective assurance function designed to add value and improve an organisation's operations. Under the Public Sector Internal Audit Standards (PSIAS), Internal Audit is required to help an organisation accomplish its objectives by bringing a systematic, disciplined approach to evaluate and improve the effectiveness of risk management, control, and governance processes.
- 1.2 It is important that the Audit Committee receives regular updates on key findings and governance themes from Internal Audit's work. This is also emphasised in the PSIAS which requires the Chief Internal Auditor to provide an annual opinion on the overall adequacy and effectiveness of the organisation's framework of governance, risk management and control, and also to report on emerging issues in year.

#### 2 Purpose of this Report

- 2.1 This report summarises the outcomes from Internal Audit reports which have been finalised, in consultation with management, and issued in the period from November 2021 May 2022. Information has been provided on the level of assurance for each audit (described below), the number of recommendations made (classified according to priority), areas of good practice identified, and the main findings. The progress made/action taken by management in respect of key issues identified from each audit has also been included. It is too early to report on action taken for a small number of recommendations as the target dates have not yet been reached. In these cases, recommendations will be followed up later in the year in accordance with Internal Audit's agreed processes.
- 2.2 Providing regular reports on key outcomes from Internal Audit's work will enable the Audit Committee to develop an ongoing awareness of the soundness of the framework of governance, risk management and control, in addition to receiving the Chief Internal Auditor's annual opinion on this framework. Audit Committee will note that the findings from some audits covered in this report are one of the intelligence strands which have informed the Chief Internal Auditor's opinion on the framework of governance, risk management and control.
- 2.3 In this report, details of three audit reports are presented. All three reports received a 'significant opinion'. No 'critical' or 'high' priority recommendations were made. These reports are detailed in Section 4 below. Due to continued work on Business Grants (necessitated by Coronavirus pandemic) and the reprioritising of Internal Audit resources some additional audit reports are still at draft stage and will be presented in the next Key Outcomes Report.
- 2.4 In addition to performing internal audits of existing systems within the Authority and responding to queries on the operation of such systems, Internal Audit has a significant and increasing role in advising on new systems within the Authority. Whilst the time spent on such assurance work reduces the number of available audit days, it is considered an efficient and pre-

- emptive use of Internal Audit's resource, in that assurance is obtained that effective controls are incorporated into new major systems from the outset. In turn, this minimises the risk of weaknesses in systems and strengthens the control environment.
- 2.5 Internal Audit has continued to be heavily deployed in assurance work necessitated by the Coronavirus pandemic. This has involved project assurance and advice on controls during development of systems related to a number of business grant funding streams, and more recently on the Energy Bills Rebate. Internal Audit has also been involved in post payment assurance and counter fraud elements of the pandemic response, in addition to the certification of a number of related central government grant returns.
- 2.6 A summary of the programme assurance and grant certification work undertaken by Internal Audit in the period is included at Section 6 of this report.

#### **3** Opinion Framework

3.1 A framework of opinion classifications is used in Internal Audit reporting. The framework applies an overall assurance judgement to each system audited, as defined below.

Full Assurance	The system of internal control is designed to meet the organisation's objectives and controls are consistently applied in all the areas reviewed.
Significant Assurance	There is a generally sound system of control designed to meet the organisation's objectives. However, some weakness in the design or inconsistent application of controls put the achievement of particular objectives at risk in some of the areas reviewed.
Limited Assurance	Weaknesses in the design of, or regular non-compliance with, key controls put the achievement of the organisation's objectives at risk in some or all of the areas reviewed.
No Assurance	Significant weaknesses in the design of, or consistent non-compliance with, key controls could result (or have resulted) in failure to achieve the organisation's objectives in the areas reviewed.

- 3.2 The opinions given to audits issued during this period are shown in **Section 4**.
- 3.3 In addition to the overall opinion given on every internal audit, individual recommendations within each report are classified as critical, high, medium, or low priority. This prioritisation is designed to assist management in assessing the importance of each recommendation. The definitions of these priority classifications are set out in the following table:

Priority	Description
1* Critical	Action considered imperative to ensure the organisation is not exposed to unacceptable risks.
1 High / Fundamental	Action that is considered imperative to ensure that the service area / establishment is not exposed to high risks.
2 Medium / Significant	Action that is considered necessary to avoid exposure to considerable risks.
3 Low / Less Significant	Action that is considered desirable or best practice and would result in enhanced control or better value for money.

3.4 Prioritisation of Internal Audit recommendations is controlled through Internal Audit's quality control and file review processes.

IA/RD/MO May 2022

## 4 Main Outcomes – Audit Reports Issued During the Period November 2021 to May 2022

	Audit Title	Audit Objective	es	Assurance Opinion		Recomm	endations	
					Critical	High	Medium	Low
1	Cash and Bank	The objective of the audit was to provide assurance to the Authority over the controls in the Cash and Bank processes including receipting, reconciliation and banking.		Significant	0	0	0	7
God	Good Practice Highlighted		Main Issues Identified and Price Recommendations	ority of	Progress Ma	ade / Actio	n Taken	
prace	<ul> <li>There were a number of areas of good practice identified including:</li> <li>Comprehensive income management procedures were in place</li> <li>Detailed reconciliation processes to ensure income transfers from source to destination</li> <li>Independent detailed reconciliation between bank account and general ledger</li> </ul>		No key matters arising were ider	itified.	Managemer recommend passed have	it has self-ca ations where been imple	sued in Dece ertified that al e the target d emented, and aining recomr	l ate has progress is

	Audit Title	Audit Objectives		Assurance Opinion		Recommo	endations	
					Critical	High	Medium	Low
2	Perimeter Security	effectivenes in place in r	an opinion to management on the ss of the systems of internal control elation to the Authority's ats to protect its computer re.	Significant	0	0	4	2
Good Practice Highlighted			Main Issues Identified and Priority Recommendations	y of	Progress M	ade / Actior	Taken	
ove con  I a s  I t s  I f	umber of areas of good r physical, network a trols were identified in ndependent penetrate and vulnerability scan Only corporate devices the network, and USE serial bus) devices are unless previously app CT Devices are configure for new virus definition times a day	nd malware ncluding: ion testing is es can join 8 (universal e blocked proved by	<ul> <li>Changes to firewall rules had be before they were approved through management system. (Medium)</li> <li>Testing had not been undertaken Firewalls could be restored from event of failure. (Medium)</li> <li>Active Directory accounts with eare only reviewed every three many inappropriate accounts may promptly. (Medium)</li> <li>Windows update to SQL Servers applied promptly. (Medium)</li> </ul>	n to ensure that backups in the levated permissions onths meaning that not be identified	Procedures rules are no implemented In respect of confirmed th to ensure th Internal Aud of two of the noted above	to ensure the approved d.  f Windows unat regular mat patches a lit has confire four recominate), and have	at changes to in advance he pdates, mana nonitoring reported promed the implemendations (agreed revise of the remains	o firewall ave been agement orts are run omptly.  ementation details ed target

	Audit Title	Audit Objectives		Assurance Opinion		Recomm	endations	
					Critical	High	Medium	Low
3	Data Analytics and Business Intelligence	strategy in the business into whether the such tools a	e whether the Authority has a clear ne use of its data analytic and elligence tools. To further determine Authority is maximising its use of cross its service areas and business achieve value for money.	Significant	0	0	2	7
Goo	d Practice Highligh	ited	Main Issues Identified and Priority Recommendations	y of	Progress M	ade / Actior	Taken	
relatincture in cluster in cluste	imber of areas of goding to use of Alteryx iding: improved collation of nultiple stakeholders with the Supporting Farogramme food quality manage of the Author exponse.	data from to assist amilies ment en's vas praised ection nent coards to	<ul> <li>The Digital Strategy and Data Analytics Policy lack detail on how the Authority can maximise its use of data analytics tools. (Medium)</li> <li>There are a number of data analytic and business intelligence tools across the Authority which provide similar functionality. A number of the business systems which utilise these tools are reaching the end of their contracts, which may provide an opportunity to consolidate the analytic tools in use. (Medium)</li> </ul>		Managemer being made Policy, and agreed. The	nt has confire in respect o a revised tar e review of the een compete	sued in Dece med that proo f the Strategy get date has ne business i d in accordar	gress is / and been ntelligence

## 5 Evidence Checking

- 5.1 Internal Audit reports issued during the period November 2021 to May 2022 included six medium priority recommendations. There were no critical or high priority recommendations in the period under review. In respect of these six, three recommendations, having passed their target date, were evidence checked and can be confirmed as implemented. The remaining three relate to the Perimeter Security, and Data Analytics & Business Intelligence audits. Internal Audit are continuing to work with the service's management in relation to the implementation of these recommendations, which are within revised target dates. Progress on the implementation of these recommendations will be reported as part of our next Key Outcomes report scheduled for November 2022.
- Of the fourteen recommendations reported in the November 2021 Key Outcomes Report as either outstanding or having not passed their implementation dates, three have now been subject to evidence checking and details are provided in the table below. Seven recommendations have not yet reached their target date, and revised target dates have been agreed for the remaining four. All outstanding recommendations will continue to be followed up and details reported to a future Audit Committee meeting.

Audit	Issue Identified	Details of Evidence Check
Hardware and Software	Three end-user devices running Windows 7 and numerous servers running Microsoft Server 2008 were identified. Both operating systems extended support were end of life as of 14 January 2020	Two recommendations to address these issues were made. The evidence check has confirmed that all Laptops are now running on the correct operating system and all 2008 servers have been removed from the network.
Debt and Income Management	There are no monthly management reports produced which list debts that are unenforceable and are referred back from the central debtors team to the service areas for action.	The evidence check confirmed that a procedure has been introduced to document any such invoices. The document is shared by the central debtors team with the Client Manager and Director of Resources for action where necessary.

### 5.3 A summary of results from the evidence checking exercises is included within the table below:

Priority	Total Number of Recommendations Evidence Checked	Number confirmed as Implemented		endations Implemented Additional Action			
		No.	%	No.	%		
Critical	Not Applicable	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
High	Not Applicable	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Medium	6	6	100	0	0		
Total	6	6	100	0	0		

## 6 Programme Assurance, Grant Certification and Other Work Undertaken

Area of Work	Summary of Work Undertaken
Purchase Card Procedural Developments – Programme Assurance	Advice and guidance was provided to the Procurement Service as they undertook a review and developed existing Purchase Card procedures. A briefing note was issued to the clients and set out some additional areas for Procurement to consider as part of their development work. The work also identified that a corporate Amazon account had been established which consolidated a number of accounts and therefore provided the Authority with savings.
Covid-19 Business Grants Schemes:	Programme Assurance – continued to provide advice and guidance to colleagues across the Authority as they established new systems to enable the Authority to make payments in relation to a number of schemes (Restart, Omicron Hospitality & Leisure and Additional Restrictions), in accordance with government conditions and as quickly and securely as possible. Payments of c£14m have been made across the full 2021/22 financial year.  Pre and Post Payment Assurance – undertaking and advising on a range of pre and post payment assurance activities including utilising the Government's 'Spotlight' and other due diligence tools. This has included advice and guidance on the payment assurance returns made to government.
Covid-19 Compliance and Enforcement Grant – Additional Certification	The purpose of the grant was to provide support towards expenditure lawfully incurred in relation to Covid-19 related enforcement and compliance activity. The grant claim was certified to the value of £0.107m.
Digital Inclusion Grant Certification	The purpose of this grant was to provide support to residents who, due to the impact of Covid-19, found themselves digitally excluded and unable to afford connectivity. The grant was certified to the value of £0.13m.
School-Centred Initial Teacher Training (SCITT) – Briefing Note	The purpose of the briefing note was to provide a short summary to the finance committee setting out the controls governing expenditure, and the level of compliance.
Swans Enterprise Zone (Plot 6) Demolition Works and Asbestos Removal Grant Certification	The purpose of this grant was to provide financial support for the development of the Swans Enterprise Zone. The grant was certified to the value of £0.01m
North Shields Masterplan and Business Case Development Grant Certification	The purpose of this grant was to provide financial support to fund a masterplan and business case development for regeneration of the town. The grant was certified to the value of £0.25m

Area of Work	Summary of Work Undertaken
Supporting Families Grant Certification	Programme of targeted intervention - Government pays local authorities for each family that meet set criteria. December 2021 Claim certification work complete, value £0.051m. March 2022 claim certification work complete, value £0.083m.
Participation in the Cabinet Office's National Fraud Initiative	North Tyneside Council participates in the National Fraud Initiative data matching exercise to assist in the prevention and detection of fraud and are required to provide sets of data to the Minister for the Cabinet Office for matching for each exercise. Internal Audit commenced collection of data sets in October 2021 for the Annual Council Tax Discounts exercise and uploaded these by the required deadline in December 2021. An additional data set as part of the counter fraud response to the government Covid-19 relief programme (Business Grants), was introduced, and was uploaded by the January 2022 deadline following consultation with colleagues in the relevant services.
Support to Project Boards / Working Groups	Internal Audit has supported the following project boards / working groups during the period under review in a programme assurance role:  Social Care Payment System initiatives (Adults' and Childrens') Energy Bills Rebate Procurement Arrangements Equality Impact Assessment Processes Unified Project (replacement system for housing and building repairs); and Information Governance and Security Group.